· · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/997,734	YELICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melanie D. Bissett	1711
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Melanie D. Bissett</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephana Patton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No	cant's representative)	
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 38, 44-45, 68, and 71		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The applicant agreed to cancel claims 38, 44-45, 68, and 71 to to the examiner's amendment to shorten the abstract.	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W eliminate duplication of subject i	AS DISCUSSED: matter. The applicant also agreed
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a will be record of the substance of the	he interview, since the interview
•		*
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)